



UNITED ST. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				wasimigic	ni, D.O. 20201	m	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR	F	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/902.371	07/29/97	BHATIA		R	42390.P4624	
Γ	MM12/0120				EXAMINER		
	BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN				LEA EDMONDS.L		
	12400 WILSH	HIRE BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	7TH FL LOS ANGELES	3 CA 90025			2835	14	
						01/20/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/902,371

Examiner

Lisa S. Lea-Edmonds

Group Art Unit

2835

Rakesh Bhatia



ТН	E PERI	DD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Ap but	plicant' is NO	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Dec 21, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, I deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
∑ The proposed amendment(s):							
	X wil	l be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	☐ wil	I not be entered because:					
 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). 							
							they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NOT	E:					
	•	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): e objection of claims 15, 28 and 30.					
X	Newly separa	proposed or amended claims					
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
		fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.					
X	For pu	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Claims allowed:						
Claims objected to: 15, 28, and 30							
	Claims	rejected: <u>12-14, 16-22, 25, 26, and 29</u>					
	The pr	oposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note t	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
	Other	Lea P. Meard Supervisory Total Comminer And Comminer					